Mother Teresa Catholic Elementary School (688784)

Education Quality and Accountability Office



School Report



Assessments of Reading, Writing and Mathematics Primary Division (Grades 1–3) and Junior Division (Grades 4–6), 2015–2016

School: Mother Teresa Catholic Elementary School (688784)

Board: Niagara Catholic DSB (67156)

On behalf of EQAO, I am pleased to provide you with the results of the 2015–2016 Assessments of Reading, Writing and Mathematics for the primary (Grades 1–3) and junior divisions (Grades 4–6).

This report presents the 2016 results for your school and board, as well as results from previous years, so you can track progress over time. You'll also find demographic and attitudinal information, which provides context for interpreting the achievement results. Because of labour action in the English-language public school system, 2015 was an unusual year in that not all students participated in the provincial assessments. Because of this, there is no provincial-level information for 2015 in this report.

Another significant development over the past year has been the number of refugee and displaced students that have joined Ontario's school system. In the face of an extraordinary circumstance, school communities have warmly welcomed these students. Many may not have developed sufficient skills to attempt the assessments—a fact that will be reflected in some schools' exemption rates this year. As always—and in these instances in particular—EQAO data should not be used to make simplistic comparisons of outcomes between schools or boards, but rather be used to provide valuable information about each community's unique student population.

EQAO assesses and evaluates important aspects of the quality and effectiveness of elementary and secondary school education.

EQAO provides schools and boards with a wide range of data about their students' achievement, attitudes, behaviour and demographics. By intersecting different types of data, schools gain rich insights to help evaluate the effectiveness of their programs and inform improvement planning.

We are pleased to provide reliable and useful information about student achievement to school communities and all partners in the education system. A thorough evaluation of student achievement requires the review of data from many sources. The information EQAO provides allows schools and boards to have richer discussions about their programs and practices, with an eye to improving them to meet their students' needs more effectively.


Sincerely,


Smer Rodnig


Bruce Rodrigues Chief Executive Officer Education Quality and Accountability Office

WHERE TO FIND	PA	GE
	Grade 3	Grade 6
Percentages of all students at or above the provincial standard:		
• 2015–2016	1	1
Over time	2	3
Tips for using this report	4	4
Contextual information: 2015–2016	5	9
Results for groups of students: 2015–2016		
All students	6	10
Participating students	7	11
Students by gender	8	12
Contextual information: Over time	13	17
Results for all students: Over time	14	18
Results for all students: Over time by gender	21	22
Student questionnaire results	23	32
Explanation of terms	41	41

PERCENTAGE OF ALL STUDENTS AT OR ABOVE THE PROVINCIAL STANDARD (LEVELS 3 AND 4), 2015–2016







Mother Teresa Catholic Elementary School (688784)

Assessments of Reading, Writing and Mathematics, 2015–2016

TIPS

Each school or board is unique. To appreciate the distinctive character of a school or board, look at the contextual information to understand the features and characteristics of the community it serves.

CS

Every assessment captures the performance of students at one point in time each year. Consider the results along with other information about students' achievement in reading, writing and mathematics.

B

Exercise caution when interpreting results for small schools or boards. Results may vary considerably from year to year, and differences may look exaggerated. For example, in a school of 20 students, a difference of 10% represents only two students.

G

Trends may be difficult to identify or to interpret. This is especially true in small schools or boards, or in schools where there is a high turnover in the student population.

B

EQAO values students' privacy. Beginning in 2012–2013, results are not reported publicly for schools where fewer than 10 students participated because it might be possible to identify individual students. Prior to 2012–2013, results were not reported publicly for schools where fewer than 15 students participated.

ABOUT THIS SCHOOL OR BOARD REPORT

This report shows how well students have met curriculum expectations to the end of the Primary Division and the end of the Junior Division. Students complete a set of test booklets that allow them to show what they have learned in reading, writing and mathematics. The assessments are based on *The Ontario Curriculum*.

This report includes

- results for this year
- a comparison of results of the current and previous administrations to aid in monitoring improvement
- information about the characteristics of the students who participated
- summary graphs showing the percentage of students achieving the provincial standard in reading, writing and mathematics
- detailed tables and graphs showing results for all levels of achievement, results for gender and participation information
- student questionnaire results
- an explanation of all terms used in this report.

HOW TO USE THIS REPORT

- Examine the contextual information to understand the similarities and differences between the school, the board and the province; the board and the province. Consider the challenges that any differences might present.
- Examine the results for reading, writing and mathematics.
 - Are these results consistent with what you would expect?
 - How do the school results compare to the board and the province; the board results compare to the province?
 - · How do these results compare over time?
 - What influence might students' attitudes have on student performance (refer to the questionnaire results)?
- Speak to school or board staff about the goals for school improvement related to reading, writing and mathematics.

The Education Quality and Accountability Office is an independent agency that gathers information about student achievement through province-wide assessments. Each year, all Grade 3 and Grade 6 students across Ontario take part in these assessments of reading, writing and mathematics. Individual results are reported to students and to parents and guardians. School, board and provincial results are released publicly.

Learn more about us at <u>www.eqao.com</u>.

Contextual Information: Grade 3*

This information provides a context for interpreting the school's results.

Demographic Information	Scho	School		Board		ince
Enrolment						
Number of Grade 3 students		19		1 513		125 484
Number of classes with Grade 3 students		1		96		9 522
Number of schools with Grade 3 classes	Not	applicable		48		3 152
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Gender						
Female	9	47%	748	49%	61 092	49%
Male	10	53%	765	51%	64 392	51%
Gender not specified	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Student Status						
English language learners**	0	0%	30	2%	16 012	13%
Students with special education needs (excluding gifted)**	4	21%	316	21%	21 832	17%
Place of Birth						
Born in Canada	18	95%	1 438	95%	113 401	90%
Born outside Canada	1	5%	73	5%	11 904	9%
In Canada less than one year	0	0%	15	1%	1 088	1%
In Canada one year or more but less than three years	0	0%	8	1%	2 228	2%
In Canada three years or more	1	5%	50	3%	7 682	6%
Language						
First language learned at home was other than English	0	0%	116	8%	27 053	22%
Year Student Entered Current School						
Year of the assessment	2	11%	158	10%	16 315	13%
Year prior to the assessment	1	5%	171	11%	13 612	11%
2 years prior to the assessment	2	11%	142	9%	19 697	16%
3 or more years prior to the assessment	14	74%	1 041	69%	75 754	60%
Data not available	0	0%	1	<1%	106	<1%
Year Student Entered Current Board						
Year of the assessment	0	0%	77	5%	7 569	6%
Year prior to the assessment	0	0%	66	4%	6 625	5%
2 years prior to the assessment	1	5%	69	5%	11 721	9%
3 or more years prior to the assessment	18	95%	1 296	86%	98 879	79%
Data not available	0	0%	5	<1%	690	1%

* Contextual data pertaining to gender, student status, place of birth, language learned at home and year entered school and board are provided by schools and/or boards through the Student Data Collection process. Some data may be missing because they were not provided by the school or the board.

** See the Explanation of Terms.

Grade 3: All Students^{††}


Grade 3: Reading*				
Number of Students	School 19		Board 1 439	Province 118 838
	#	%	%	%
Level 4	1	5%	17%	16%
Level 3	6	32%	61%	56%
Level 2	10	53%	18%	21%
Level 1	1	5%	1%	3%
NE1**	1	5%	<1%	1%
Participating Students	19	100%	98%	97%
No Data	0	0%	<1%	1%
Exempt	0	0%	2%	3%
At or Above Provincial Standard (Levels 3 and 4)†		37%	79%	72%


Grade 3: Writing*


Number of Students	School 19		Board 1 439	Province 118 860
	#	%	%	%
Level 4	0	0%	4%	4%
Level 3	11	58%	76%	70%
Level 2	8	42%	17%	22%
Level 1	0	0%	<1%	1%
NE1**	0	0%	<1%	<1%
Participating Students	19	100%	98%	97%
No Data	0	0%	<1%	1%
Exempt	0	0%	2%	2%
At or Above Provincial Standard (Levels 3 and 4)†		58%	80%	74%

Grade 3: Mathematics*

Orade V. Mathematics								
Number of Students	School 19		Board 1 513	Province 125 471				
	#	%	%	%				
Level 4	2	11%	11%	12%				
Level 3	11	58%	57%	51%				
Level 2	3	16%	27%	28%				
Level 1	3	16%	3%	5%				
NE1**	0	0%	<1%	1%				
Participating Students	19	100%	98%	97%				
No Data	0	0%	<1%	1%				
Exempt	0	0%	2%	2%				
At or Above Provincial Standard (Levels 3 and 4)†		68%	68%	63%				







Because percentages in tables and graphs are rounded, and because graphs do not show all reporting categories, percentages may not add up to 100.
See the Explanation of Terms.

† These percentages are based on the actual number of students and cannot be calculated simply by adding the rounded percentages of students at Levels 3 and 4.


11 Some French Immersion students do not write all components of the assessment in Grade 3; the numbers shown reflect those students who were expected to write each component as determined by the French Immersion participation option selected by boards.

Grade 3: Participating Students (excludes "no data" and "exempt" categories)


Grade 3: Reading*				
Number of Students	School 19		Board 1 409	Province 115 029
	#	%	%	%
Level 4	1	5%	18%	17%
Level 3	6	32%	63%	58%
Level 2	10	53%	18%	22%
Level 1	1	5%	1%	3%
NE1**	1	5%	<1%	1%
At or Above Provincial Standard (Levels 3 and 4)†		37%	80%	74%

Percentage of Participating Grade 3 Students at All Levels: Reading*

Grade 3: Writing*								
Number of Students	School 19		Board 1 408	Province 115 222				
	#	%	%	%				
Level 4	0	0%	4%	4%				
Level 3	11	58%	78%	72%				
Level 2	8	42%	18%	22%				
Level 1	0	0%	<1%	1%				
NE1**	0	0%	<1%	<1%				
At or Above Provincial Standard (Levels 3 and 4)†		58%	82%	77%				



Grade 3: Mathematics*							
Number of Students	School 19		Board 1 484	Province 121 828			
	#	%	%	%			
Level 4	2	11%	11%	12%			
Level 3	11	58%	58%	53%			
Level 2	3	16%	27%	29%			
Level 1	3	16%	3%	5%			
NE1**	0	0%	<1%	1%			
At or Above Provincial Standard (Levels 3 and 4)†		68%	69%	65%			





* Because percentages in tables and graphs are rounded, percentages may not add up to 100.


** See the Explanation of Terms.

Grade 3: Gender^{††}

Grade 3: School*						
	Read	ling	Writi	ng	Mathen	natics
Number of Students	Female N/R	Male <i>N/R</i>	Female <i>N/R</i>	Male <i>N/R</i>	Female <i>N/R</i>	Male <i>N/R</i>
Level 4	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
Level 3	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
Level 2	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
Level 1	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
NE1**	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
Participating Students	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
No Data	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
Exempt	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
At or Above Provincial Standard (Levels 3 and 4)†	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R







Grade 3: Board*

	Read	lina	Writi	na	Mathematics		
Number of Students	Female 706	Male 733	Female 706	Male 733	Female 748	Male 765	
Level 4	23%	11%	6%	2%	12%	10%	
Level 3	59%	63%	80%	73%	55%	58%	
Level 2	14%	21%	12%	22%	28%	26%	
Level 1	1%	1%	0%	<1%	3%	3%	
NE1**	<1%	<1%	0%	<1%	<1%	<1%	
Participating Students	98%	98%	98%	97%	99%	98%	
No Data	1%	<1%	1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	
Exempt	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	
At or Above Provincial Standard (Levels 3 and 4)†	82%	75%	86%	75%	67%	68%	

Grade 3: Province*

	Read	ling	Writi	ng	Mathematics				
Number of Students	Female 57 356	Male 61 482	Female 57 363	Male 61 497	Female 61 090	Male 64 381			
Level 4	20%	12%	6%	3%	12%	12%			
Level 3	56%	56%	74%	66%	52%	50%			
Level 2	18%	23%	17%	26%	29%	28%			
Level 1	2%	4%	1%	1%	5%	5%			
NE1**	1%	1%	<1%	<1%	1%	1%			
Participating Students	98%	96%	98%	96%	98%	96%			
No Data	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%			
Exempt	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%			
At or Above Provincial Standard (Levels 3 and 4)†	76%	68%	80%	69%	63%	63%			

Because percentages in tables are rounded, percentages may not add up to 100.

** See the Explanation of Terms.

These percentages are based on the actual number of students and cannot be calculated simply by adding the rounded percentages of students at Levels 3 and 4.

the Results include only students for whom gender data were available.

Contextual Information: Grade 6*

This information provides a context for interpreting the school's results.

Demographic Information	Schoo	School		Board		ince
Enrolment						
Number of Grade 6 students		48		1 529		123 685
Number of classes with Grade 6 students		2		76		7 944
Number of schools with Grade 6 classes	Not a	applicable		48		2 982
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Gender						
Female	27	56%	750	49%	59 951	48%
Male	21	44%	779	51%	63 734	52%
Gender not specified	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Student Status						
English language learners**	0	0%	14	1%	12 575	10%
Students with special education needs (excluding gifted)**	10	21%	335	22%	26 505	21%
Place of Birth						
Born in Canada	45	94%	1 428	93%	108 917	88%
Born outside Canada	3	6%	100	7%	14 623	12%
In Canada less than one year	1	2%	7	<1%	829	1%
In Canada one year or more but less than three years	0	0%	17	1%	2 009	2%
In Canada three years or more	2	4%	76	5%	11 031	9%
Language						
First language learned at home was other than English	5	10%	123	8%	27 801	22%
Year Student Entered Current School						
Year of the assessment	3	6%	134	9%	26 677	22%
Year prior to the assessment	2	4%	120	8%	11 460	9%
2 years prior to the assessment	3	6%	187	12%	12 406	10%
3 or more years prior to the assessment	40	83%	1 087	71%	73 061	59%
Data not available	0	0%	1	<1%	81	<1%
Year Student Entered Current Board						
Year of the assessment	3	6%	67	4%	6 265	5%
Year prior to the assessment	1	2%	52	3%	5 691	5%
2 years prior to the assessment	2	4%	73	5%	7 826	6%
3 or more years prior to the assessment	41	85%	1 178	77%	101 569	82%
Data not available	1	2%	159	10%	2 334	2%

Contextual data pertaining to gender, student status, place of birth, language learned at home and year entered school and board are provided by schools and/or boards through the Student Data Collection process. Some data may be missing because they were not provided by the school or the board.

** See the Explanation of Terms.

Grade 6: All Students


Grade 6: Reading*						
Number of Students	School 48				Board 1 529	Province 123 592
	#	%	%	%		
Level 4	5	10%	13%	13%		
Level 3	31	65%	71%	68%		
Level 2	10	21%	14%	15%		
Level 1	0	0%	1%	2%		
NE1**	0	0%	0%	<1%		
Participating Students	46	96%	99%	97%		
No Data	0	0%	<1%	1%		
Exempt	2	4%	1%	2%		
At or Above Provincial Standard (Levels 3 and 4)†		75%	84%	81%		


Grade 6: Writing*


Number of Students		hool 48	Board 1 529	Province 123 617
	#	%	%	%
Level 4	10	21%	17%	18%
Level 3	31	65%	68%	62%
Level 2	5	10%	13%	16%
Level 1	0	0%	<1%	1%
NE1**	0	0%	<1%	<1%
Participating Students	46	96%	99%	97%
No Data	0	0%	<1%	1%
Exempt	2	4%	1%	2%
At or Above Provincial Standard (Levels 3 and 4)†		85%	86%	80%

Grade 6: Mathematics*

Number of Students		hool 48	Board 1 529	Province 123 666
	#	%	%	%
Level 4	8	17%	15%	13%
Level 3	20	42%	41%	37%
Level 2	14	29%	31%	31%
Level 1	4	8%	11%	16%
NE1**	0	0%	<1%	<1%
Participating Students	46	96%	99%	97%
No Data	0	0%	<1%	1%
Exempt	2	4%	1%	2%
At or Above Provincial Standard (Levels 3 and 4)†		58%	56%	50%








Because percentages in tables and graphs are rounded, and because graphs do not show all reporting categories, percentages may not add up to 100.
See the Explanation of Terms.


Assessments of Reading, Writing and Mathematics, 2015–2016

Grade 6: Participating Students (excludes "no data" and "exempt" categories)


Grade 6: Reading*							
Number of Students	School 46				Board 1 508	Province 120 426	
	#	%	%	%			
Level 4	5	11%	13%	13%			
Level 3	31	67%	72%	69%			
Level 2	10	22%	14%	15%			
Level 1	0	0%	1%	2%			
NE1**	0	0%	0%	<1%			
At or Above Provincial Standard (Levels 3 and 4)†		78%	85%	83%			



Grade 6: Writing*							
Number of Students	School 46				Board 1 508	Province 120 456	
	#	%	%	%			
Level 4	10	22%	18%	18%			
Level 3	31	67%	69%	64%			
Level 2	5	11%	13%	17%			
Level 1	0	0%	<1%	1%			
NE1**	0	0%	<1%	<1%			
At or Above Provincial Standard (Levels 3 and 4)†		89%	87%	82%			



Grade 6: Mathematics*							
Number of Students	School 46				Board 1 507	Province 120 369	
	#	%	%	%			
Level 4	8	17%	15%	13%			
Level 3	20	43%	41%	38%			
Level 2	14	30%	32%	32%			
Level 1	4	9%	12%	16%			
NE1**	0	0%	<1%	<1%			
At or Above Provincial Standard (Levels 3 and 4)†		61%	56%	51%			




* Because percentages in tables and graphs are rounded, percentages may not add up to 100.


** See the Explanation of Terms.


Assessments of Reading, Writing and Mathematics, 2015–2016

Grade 6: Gender^{††}

Grade 6: School*						
	Read	ling	Writi	ng	Mathematics	
Number of Students	Female 27	Male 21	Female 27	Male 21	Female 27	Male 21
Level 4	19%	0%	33%	5%	22%	10%
Level 3	59%	71%	59%	71%	44%	38%
Level 2	19%	24%	4%	19%	22%	38%
Level 1	0%	0%	0%	0%	7%	10%
NE1**	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Participating Students	96%	95%	96%	95%	96%	95%
No Data	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Exempt	4%	5%	4%	5%	4%	5%
At or Above Provincial Standard (Levels 3 and 4)†	78%	71%	93%	76%	67%	48%







Grade 6: Board*

	Read	ling	Writi	Writing		natics
Number of Students	Female 750	Male 779	Female 750	Male 779	Female 750	Male 779
Level 4	16%	9%	26%	9%	16%	15%
Level 3	72%	70%	66%	71%	41%	40%
Level 2	10%	18%	7%	18%	32%	31%
Level 1	1%	1%	<1%	<1%	10%	13%
NE1**	0%	0%	0%	<1%	<1%	<1%
Participating Students	99%	98%	99%	98%	99%	98%
No Data	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	1%
Exempt	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
At or Above Provincial Standard (Levels 3 and 4)†	88%	80%	92%	80%	57%	54%

Grade 6: Province*

	Read	ling	Writi	ng	Mather	natics
Number of Students	Female 59 914	Male 63 678	Female 59 927	Male 63 690	Female 59 944	Male 63 722
Level 4	17%	10%	25%	11%	13%	13%
Level 3	68%	67%	62%	62%	38%	37%
Level 2	12%	17%	10%	22%	32%	30%
Level 1	1%	2%	<1%	1%	15%	17%
NE1**	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	1%
Participating Students	98%	97%	98%	97%	98%	97%
No Data	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Exempt	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%
At or Above Provincial Standard (Levels 3 and 4)†	85%	77%	87%	73%	50%	50%

* Because percentages in tables and graphs are rounded, percentages may not add up to 100.

** See the Explanation of Terms.

These percentages are based on the actual number of students and cannot be calculated simply by adding the rounded percentages of students at Levels 3 and 4.

†† Results include only students for whom gender data were available.

Assessments of Reading, Writing and Mathematics, 2015–2016

Contextual Information over Time: Grade 3*

This information provides a context for interpreting the school's results of the current and previous administrations.

Grade 3	2011–2012	2012–2013	2013–2014	2014–2015	2015–2016
Enrolment					
Number of students	33	44	44	27	19
Participation in the Assessment					
Reading†	97%	95%	98%	100%	100%
Writing†	97%	95%	98%	100%	100%
Mathematics†	97%	95%	98%	100%	100%
Gender					
Female	39%	52%	43%	52%	47%
Male	61%	48%	57%	48%	53%
Student Status					
English language learners**	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Students with special education needs (excluding gifted)**	12%	18%	7%	7%	21%
Place of Birth					
Born in Canada	94%	95%	93%	93%	95%
Born outside Canada	6%	5%	7%	7%	5%
In Canada less than one year	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%
In Canada one year or more but less than three years	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%
In Canada three years or more	6%	2%	7%	4%	5%
Language					
First language learned at home was other than English	6%	9%	14%	7%	0%
Year Student Entered Current School					
Year of the assessment	9%	9%	9%	11%	11%
Year prior to the assessment	6%	0%	5%	7%	5%
2 years prior to the assessment	6%	9%	14%	11%	11%
3 or more years prior to the assessment	79%	82%	73%	70%	74%
Data not available	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%


* Contextual data pertaining to gender, student status, place of birth, language learned at home and year entered school are provided by schools and/or boards through the Student Data Collection process. Some data may be missing because they were not provided by the school or the board.

Some French Immersion students do not write all components of the assessment in Grade 3; the numbers shown reflect those students who were expected to write each component as determined by the French Immersion participation option selected by boards.

** See the Explanation of Terms.


Assessments of Reading, Writing and Mathematics, 2015–2016 Results over Time, 2012-2013 to 2015-2016* Grade 3: Reading

Grade 3 Reading: School*								
Year	'12–'13	'13–'14	'14–'15	'15–'16				
Number of Students	44	44	27	19				
Level 4	16%	9%	7%	5%				
Level 3	61%	55%	19%	32%				
Level 2	14%	27%	63%	53%				
Level 1	2%	5%	7%	5%				
NE1**	2%	2%	4%	5%				
Participating Students	95%	98%	100%	100%				
No Data	0%	0%	0%	0%				
Exempt	5%	2%	0%	0%				
At or Above Provincial Standard†	77%	64%	26%	37%				




Grade 3 Reading: Board*


Year	'12–'13	'13–'14	'14–'15	'15–'16
Number of Students	1 528	1 527	1 542	1 439
Level 4	11%	12%	15%	17%
Level 3	61%	62%	59%	61%
Level 2	23%	22%	22%	18%
Level 1	3%	2%	2%	1%
NE1**	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%
Participating Students	98%	99%	98%	98%
No Data	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%
Exempt	1%	1%	2%	2%
At or Above Provincial Standard†	72%	74%	74%	79%



Grade 3 Reading: Province*

Year	'12–'13	'13–'14	'14–'15	'15–'16		
Number of Students	122 450	122 018	EC	118 838		
Level 4	12%	12%	EC	16%		
Level 3	55%	58%	EC	56%		
Level 2	23%	23%	EC	21%		
Level 1	5%	4%	EC	3%		
NE1**	1%	1%	EC	1%		
Participating Students	97%	97%	EC	97%		
No Data	1%	1%	EC	1%		
Exempt	3%	2%	EC	3%		
At or Above Provincial Standard†	68%	70%	EC	72%		






Refer to the EQAO Web site (www.eqao.com) for data from previous years. Because percentages in tables and graphs are rounded, and because graphs do not show all reporting categories, percentages may not add up to 100. ** See the Explanation of Terms.


Assessments of Reading, Writing and Mathematics, 2015–2016 Results over Time, 2012-2013 to 2015-2016* Grade 3: Writing

Grade 3 Writing: School*						
Year	'12–'13	'13–'14	'14–'15	'15–'16		
Number of Students	44	44	27	19		
Level 4	5%	9%	0%	0%		
Level 3	75%	75%	63%	58%		
Level 2	16%	14%	37%	42%		
Level 1	0%	0%	0%	0%		
NE1**	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Participating Students	95%	98%	100%	100%		
No Data	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Exempt	5%	2%	0%	0%		
At or Above Provincial Standard†	80%	84%	63%	58%		



Grade 3 Writing: Board*


Year	'12–'13	'13–'14	'14–'15	'15–'16
Number of Students	1 528	1 527	1 542	1 439
Level 4	6%	7%	4%	4%
Level 3	78%	80%	77%	76%
Level 2	14%	12%	17%	17%
Level 1	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%
NE1**	0%	<1%	<1%	<1%
Participating Students	98%	99%	98%	98%
No Data	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%
Exempt	1%	1%	1%	2%
At or Above Provincial Standard†	84%	87%	81%	80%



Grade 3 Writing: Province*

Year	'12–'13	'13–'14 '14–'15		'15–'16
Number of Students	122 447	122 018	EC	118 860
Level 4	7%	6%	EC	4%
Level 3	70%	72%	EC	70%
Level 2	19%	18%	EC	22%
Level 1	1%	1%	EC	1%
NE1**	<1%	<1%	EC	<1%
Participating Students	97%	97%	EC	97%
No Data	1%	1%	EC	1%
Exempt	2%	2%	EC	2%
At or Above Provincial Standard†	77%	78%	EC	74%






♦ *

Refer to the EQAO Web site (www.eqao.com) for data from previous years. Because percentages in tables and graphs are rounded, and because graphs do not show all reporting categories, percentages may not add up to 100. ** See the Explanation of Terms.

Assessments of Reading, Writing and Mathematics, 2015–2016 Results over Time, 2012-2013 to 2015-2016* **Grade 3: Mathematics**

Grade 3 Mathematics: School*					
Year	'12–'13	'13–'14	'14–'15	'15–'16	
Number of Students	44	44	27	19	
Level 4	7%	5%	7%	11%	
Level 3	70%	52%	19%	58%	
Level 2	18%	34%	59%	16%	
Level 1	0%	7%	15%	16%	
NE1**	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Participating Students	95%	98%	100%	100%	
No Data	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Exempt	5%	2%	0%	0%	
At or Above Provincial Standard†	77%	57%	26%	68%	



Grade 3 Mathematics: Board*

Grade 3 Mathematics: Province*

Year Number of

Students

Participating

Students

Level 4

Level 3

Level 2

Level 1

NE1**

No Data

Exempt At or Above

Provincial

Standard[†]

'12-'13

127 633

12%

55%

27%

3%

<1%

97%

1%

2%

67%

Year	'12–'13	'13–'14	'14–'15	'15–'16
Number of Students	1 530	1 527	1 542	1 513
Level 4	10%	11%	11%	11%
Level 3	61%	60%	56%	57%
Level 2	26%	25%	29%	27%
Level 1	2%	2%	3%	3%
NE1**	0%	<1%	<1%	<1%
Participating Students	98%	98%	98%	98%
No Data	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%
Exempt	2%	1%	1%	2%
At or Above Provincial Standard†	71%	71%	66%	68%

'13-'14

127 504

13%

54%

26%

4%

<1%

97%

1%

2%

67%

'14-'15

EC

'15–'16

125 471

12%

51%

28%

5%

1%


97%

1%


2%

63%





Percentage of All Grade 3 Students at All Levels in Mathematics: Province*



•

Refer to the EQAO Web site (www.eqao.com) for data from previous years. Because percentages in tables and graphs are rounded, and because graphs do not show all reporting categories, percentages may not add up to 100. ** See the Explanation of Terms.

Assessments of Reading, Writing and Mathematics, 2015–2016

Contextual Information over Time: Grade 6*


This information provides a context for interpreting the school's results of the current and previous administrations.

Grade 6	2011–2012	2012–2013	2013–2014	2014–2015	2015–2016		
Enrolment							
Number of students	42	44	41	35	48		
Participation in the Assessment							
Reading	95%	100%	100%	97%	96%		
Writing	95%	100%	100%	97%	96%		
Mathematics	95%	100%	100%	97%	96%		
Gender							
Female	40%	57%	46%	40%	56%		
Male	60%	43%	54%	60%	44%		
Student Status							
English language learners**	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Students with special education needs (excluding gifted)**	12%	14%	15%	11%	21%		
Place of Birth							
Born in Canada	88%	91%	98%	91%	94%		
Born outside Canada	12%	9%	2%	9%	6%		
In Canada less than one year	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%		
In Canada one year or more but less than three years	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
In Canada three years or more	10%	9%	2%	9%	4%		
Language							
First language learned at home was other than English	12%	7%	5%	9%	10%		
Year Student Entered Current School							
Year of the assessment	2%	9%	0%	6%	6%		
Year prior to the assessment	7%	2%	5%	6%	4%		
2 years prior to the assessment	0%	7%	0%	3%	6%		
3 or more years prior to the assessment	90%	82%	95%	86%	83%		
Data not available	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		

Contextual data pertaining to gender, student status, place of birth, language learned at home and year entered school are provided by schools and/or boards through the Student Data Collection process. Some data may be missing because they were not provided by the school or the board. See the Explanation of Terms. **


Assessments of Reading, Writing and Mathematics, 2015–2016 Results over Time, 2012-2013 to 2015-2016* Grade 6: Reading

Grade 6 Reading: School*						
Year	'12–'13	'13–'14	'14–'15	'15–'16		
Number of Students	44	41	35	48		
Level 4	9%	17%	6%	10%		
Level 3	77%	78%	77%	65%		
Level 2	9%	5%	14%	21%		
Level 1	5%	0%	0%	0%		
NE1**	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Participating Students	100%	100%	97%	96%		
No Data	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Exempt	0%	0%	3%	4%		
At or Above Provincial Standard†	86%	95%	83%	75%		



Grade 6 Reading: Board*


Year	'12–'13	'13–'14	'14–'15	'15–'16
Number of Students	1 602	1 505	1 550	1 529
Level 4	10%	10%	11%	13%
Level 3	73%	72%	72%	71%
Level 2	15%	15%	15%	14%
Level 1	2%	1%	1%	1%
NE1**	0%	<1%	<1%	0%
Participating Students	99%	98%	99%	99%
No Data	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%
Exempt	1%	1%	1%	1%
At or Above Provincial Standard†	83%	82%	83%	84%



Grade 6 Reading: Province*

J. J				
Year	'12–'13	'13–'14	'14–'15	'15–'16
Number of Students	131 514	127 261	EC	123 592
Level 4	13%	12%	EC	13%
Level 3	64%	67%	EC	68%
Level 2	18%	16%	EC	15%
Level 1	2%	2%	EC	2%
NE1**	<1%	<1%	EC	<1%
Participating Students	98%	98%	EC	97%
No Data	<1%	<1%	EC	1%
Exempt	2%	2%	EC	2%
At or Above Provincial Standard†	77%	79%	EC	81%






♦ *

Refer to the EQAO Web site (www.eqao.com) for data from previous years. Because percentages in tables and graphs are rounded, and because graphs do not show all reporting categories, percentages may not add up to 100. ** See the Explanation of Terms.


Assessments of Reading, Writing and Mathematics, 2015–2016 Results over Time, 2012-2013 to 2015-2016* Grade 6: Writing

Grade 6 Writing: School*					
Year	'12–'13	'13–'14	'14–'15	'15–'16	
Number of Students	44	41	35	48	
Level 4	14%	24%	3%	21%	
Level 3	70%	68%	77%	65%	
Level 2	11%	7%	17%	10%	
Level 1	0%	0%	0%	0%	
NE1**	5%	0%	0%	0%	
Participating Students	100%	100%	97%	96%	
No Data	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Exempt	0%	0%	3%	4%	
At or Above Provincial Standard†	84%	93%	80%	85%	



Grade 6 Writing: Board*


Year	'12–'13	'13–'14	'14–'15	'15–'16
Number of Students	1 602	1 505	1 550	1 529
Level 4	12%	10%	14%	17%
Level 3	74%	74%	71%	68%
Level 2	13%	14%	13%	13%
Level 1	<1%	<1%	1%	<1%
NE1**	<1%	<1%	0%	<1%
Participating Students	99%	98%	99%	99%
No Data	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%
Exempt	1%	1%	1%	1%
At or Above Provincial Standard†	86%	84%	85%	86%



Grade 6 Writing: Province*

Year	'12–'13	'13–'14	'14–'15	'15–'16
Number of Students	131 504	127 207	EC	123 617
Level 4	13%	12%	EC	18%
Level 3	64%	66%	EC	62%
Level 2	20%	18%	EC	16%
Level 1	1%	1%	EC	1%
NE1**	<1%	<1%	EC	<1%
Participating Students	98%	98%	EC	97%
No Data	<1%	1%	EC	1%
Exempt	2%	2%	EC	2%
At or Above Provincial Standard†	76%	78%	EC	80%






♦ *

Refer to the EQAO Web site (www.eqao.com) for data from previous years. Because percentages in tables and graphs are rounded, and because graphs do not show all reporting categories, percentages may not add up to 100. ** See the Explanation of Terms.

Assessments of Reading, Writing and Mathematics, 2015–2016 Results over Time, 2012-2013 to 2015-2016* **Grade 6: Mathematics**


Grade 6 Mathematics: School*									
Year	'12–'13	'13–'14	'14–'15	'15–'16					
Number of Students	44	41	35	48					
Level 4	11%	27%	6%	17%					
Level 3	52%	39%	49%	42%					
Level 2	30%	29%	23%	29%					
Level 1	7%	5%	20%	8%					
NE1**	0%	0%	0%	0%					
Participating Students	100%	100%	97%	96%					
No Data	0%	0%	0%	0%					
Exempt	0%	0%	3%	4%					
At or Above Provincial Standard†	64%	66%	54%	58%					



Grade 6 Mathematics: Board*

Year	'12–'13	'13–'14	'14–'15	'15–'16
Number of Students	1 601	1 505	1 550	1 529
Level 4	14%	12%	17%	15%
Level 3	51%	47%	43%	41%
Level 2	28%	30%	30%	31%
Level 1	6%	10%	9%	11%
NE1**	0%	<1%	<1%	<1%
Participating Students	99%	98%	99%	99%
No Data	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%
Exempt	1%	1%	1%	1%
At or Above Provincial Standard†	65%	58%	59%	56%







Grade 6 Mathematics: Province*

Year	'12–'13	'13–'14	'14–'15	'15–'16
Number of Students	131 543	127 286	EC	123 666
Level 4	13%	13%	EC	13%
Level 3	43%	42%	EC	37%
Level 2	30%	30%	EC	31%
Level 1	11%	13%	EC	16%
NE1**	<1%	<1%	EC	<1%
Participating Students	97%	98%	EC	97%
No Data	1%	1%	EC	1%
Exempt	2%	2%	EC	2%
At or Above Provincial Standard†	57%	54%	EC	50%






Refer to the EQAO Web site (www.eqao.com) for data from previous years. Because percentages in tables and graphs are rounded, and because graphs do not show all reporting categories, percentages may not add up to 100. ** See the Explanation of Terms.



* Includes only students for whom gender data were available.




* Includes only students for whom gender data were available.

STUDENT QUESTIONN	AIRE RESULTS FOR SCHOOL: GRADE 3 (# = 19)	
Never Sor	netimes Most of the time	
STUDENT ENGAGEMENT About reading:	Percentage of Students*	Number of students who answered "most of the time"
I like to read.	5 53 42	8
I am a good reader.	11 42 47	9
I am able to understand difficult reading passages.	21 53 26	5
I do my best when I do reading activities in class.	5 32 63	12
STUDENT ENGAGEMENT About writing:	11	
I like to write.	26 32 42	8
I am a good writer.	21 32 47	9
I am able to communicate my ideas in writing.	26 32 42	8
I do my best when I do writing activities in class.	5 42 53	10
COGNITIVE STRATEGIES USED IN LANGU	JAGE	
Before I start to read, I try to predict what the text will be about.	37 37 11	2
I make sure I understand what I am reading.	5 32 63	12
I slow down my reading if it is difficult.	11 16 74	14
When I come to a word I do not understand, I look for clues (e.g., punctuation, word parts, other words in the sentence).	26 42 26	5
When I am finished reading, I think about what I have read.	32 42 26	5
I organize my ideas before I start to write.	11 42 47	9
I edit my writing to make it better.	21 37 42	8
I check my writing for spelling and grammar.	16 37 47	9

	AIRE RESULTS FOR SCHOOL: GRADE 3 (# = 19)	
Never Son	netimes Most of the time	
INSTRUCTIONAL TOOLS USED IN READING AND WRITING How often do you use the following when you read and write at school?	Percentage of Students*	Number of students who answered "most of the time"
A computer for reading activities	37 63	0
A computer for writing activities	26 63 11	2
Reading and writing tools (e.g., a dictionary, thesaurus, word wall, editing checklist)	16 37 47	9
STUDENT ENGAGEMENT About mathematics:		
I like mathematics.	21 32 42	8
I am good at mathematics.	5 42 53	10
I am able to answer difficult mathematics questions.	11 42 47	9
I do my best when I do mathematics activities in class.	5 16 79	15
COGNITIVE STRATEGIES USED IN MATHE When I am working on a mathematics proble		
I read over the problem first to make sure I know what I am supposed to do.	11 32 58	11
I think about the steps I will use to solve the problem.	11 58 32	6
I ask for help if I do not understand the problem.	16 26 53	10
I check my work for mistakes.	21 26 53	10
I check my answer to see if it makes sense.	16 42 42	8

STUDENT QUESTIONNAIRE RESULTS FOR SCHOOL: GRADE 3 (# = 19)										
Never Son	netimes Most of the time									
INSTRUCTIONAL TOOLS USED IN MATHEMATICS How often do you use the following during mathematics activities at school?	Percentage of Students*	Number of students who answered "most of the time"								
Manipulatives (e.g., base ten blocks, tiles)	21 42 37	7								
A calculator	11 79 11	2								
A computer to learn mathematics	84 16	0								





STUDENT QUESTIONNAIRE RESULTS FOR SCHOOL: GRADE 3 (# = 19)

SCHOOLS ATTENDED How many schools did you attend before this one?	Percentage of Students*	Number of students
Only this one	63	12
1 other school	26	5
2 other schools	11	2
3 other schools		0
4 other schools or more		0
	ge (or other languages) Mostly another language (or other language) Only another language (or other language	
LANGUAGES SPOKEN	Percentage of Students*	Number of students who answered "only English" or "mostly English"
Languages student speaks at home	89 11	17
Languages in which people speak to student at home	84 16	16

Mother Teresa Catholic Elementary School (688784)

Assessments of Reading, Writing and Mathematics, 2015–2016

	School			Board			Province		
GRADE 3: STUDENT QUESTIONNAIRE RESULTS FOR SCHOOL, BOARD AND PROVINCE (all students, female, male)	All (# = 19)	Female* (# = 9)	Male* (# = 10)	All (# = 1 474)	Female* (# = 730)	Male* (# = 744)	All (# = 120 554)	Female* (# = 59 170)	Male* (# = 61 384)

STUDENT ENGAGEMENT

About reading:

About writing:

Percentage of students who answered "most of the time"†

I like to read.	42%	56%	30%	45%	52%	38%	47%	53%	42%
l am a good reader.	47%	56%	40%	66%	69%	63%	64%	66%	63%
I am able to understand difficult reading passages.	26%	11%	40%	30%	28%	32%	29%	27%	31%
I do my best when I do reading activities in class.	63%	67%	60%	74%	80%	68%	73%	77%	69%

STUDENT ENGAGEMENT

Percentage of students who answered "most of the time"+

I like to write.	42%	44%	40%	53%	59%	46%	52%	60%	45%
I am a good writer.	47%	56%	40%	56%	64%	49%	51%	57%	44%
I am able to communicate my ideas in writing.	42%	56%	30%	45%	44%	45%	45%	46%	43%
I do my best when I do writing activities in class.	53%	56%	50%	72%	77%	68%	72%	76%	67%

COGNITIVE STRATEGIES USED IN LANGUAGE

Percentage of students who answered "most of the time"†

Before I start to read, I try to predict what the text will be about.	11%	11%	10%	18%	17%	20%	20%	20%	20%
I make sure I understand what I am reading.	63%	78%	50%	66%	68%	65%	65%	68%	62%
I slow down my reading if it is difficult.	74%	78%	70%	50%	55%	46%	52%	56%	47%
When I come to a word I do not understand, I look for clues (eg. punctuation, word parts, other words in the sentence).	26%	33%	20%	35%	37%	34%	36%	39%	34%
When I am finished reading, I think about what I have read.	26%	33%	20%	38%	41%	35%	38%	40%	36%
I organize my ideas before I start to write.	47%	67%	30%	39%	42%	36%	41%	44%	38%
I edit my writing to make it better.	42%	67%	20%	44%	49%	40%	44%	47%	40%
I check my writing for spelling and grammar.	47%	67%	30%	48%	52%	44%	46%	49%	42%

INSTRUCTIONAL TOOLS USED IN READING AND WRITING

Percentage of students who answered "most of the time"+

A computer for reading activities	0%	0%	0%	10%	9%	11%	15%	14%	17%
A computer for writing activities	11%	11%	10%	12%	11%	12%	20%	19%	21%
Reading and writing tools (e.g., a dictionary, thesaurus, word wall, editing checklist)	47%	56%	40%	38%	41%	35%	37%	42%	33%

* Includes only students for whom gender data were available.

† Other response options were "never" and "sometimes".

Assessments of Reading, Writing and Mathematics, 2015–2016

		School			Board			Province	
GRADE 3: STUDENT QUESTIONNAIRE RESULTS FOR SCHOOL, BOARD AND PROVINCE (all students, female, male)	All (# = 19)	Female* (# = 9)	Male* (# = 10)	All (# = 1 474)	Female* (# = 730)	Male* (# = 744)	All (# = 120 554)	Female* (# = 59 170)	Male* (# = 61 384)
STUDENT ENGAGEMENT About mathematics:		Percei	ntage of s	tudents v	vho answ	ered "mo	st of the t	imeӠ	

I like mathematics.	42%	22%	60%	56%	51%	61%	59%	54%	63%
I am good at mathematics.	53%	33%	70%	56%	51%	60%	56%	49%	63%
I am able to answer difficult mathematics questions.	47%	33%	60%	38%	31%	44%	39%	31%	46%
I do my best when I do mathematics activities in class.	79%	78%	80%	78%	79%	76%	79%	80%	78%

COGNITIVE STRATEGIES USED IN MATHEMATICS

When I am working on a mathematics problem,

I read over the problem first to make sure I know what I am supposed to do.	58%	67%	50%	67%	70%	63%	69%	73%	65%
I think about the steps I will use to solve the problem.	32%	22%	40%	40%	41%	39%	45%	46%	44%
I ask for help if I do not understand the problem.	53%	67%	40%	53%	56%	49%	54%	60%	49%
I check my work for mistakes.	53%	44%	60%	52%	55%	49%	52%	55%	50%
I check my answers to see if it makes sense.	42%	44%	40%	61%	64%	57%	61%	64%	58%

INSTRUCTIONAL TOOLS USED IN MATHEMATICS

Percentage of students who answered "most of the time"+

Percentage of students who answered "most of the time"†

Manipulatives (e.g., base ten blocks, tiles)	37%	44%	30%	31%	35%	28%	28%	31%	25%
A calculator	11%	22%	0%	12%	11%	12%	14%	14%	14%
A computer to learn mathematics	0%	0%	0%	17%	17%	17%	22%	21%	22%

* Includes only students for whom gender data were available.

† Other response options were "never" and "sometimes".

Assessments of R

vince

170)

(# = 59

= 61 384

Assessments of Reading, Writing and I	Mathem	atics, 20	015–20 ⁻	16				
		School			Board			Prov
GRADE 3: STUDENT QUESTIONNAIRE RESULTS FOR SCHOOL, BOARD AND PROVINCE (all students, female, male)	All (# = 19)	Female* (# = 9)	Male* (# = 10)	All (# = 1 474)	Female* (# = 730)	Male* (# = 744)	All (# = 120 554)	Female*

OUT-OF-SCHOOL ACTIVITIES

How often do you do the following when you are not at school?

Percentage of students who answered "every day or almost every day"+

I participate in art, music or drama activities.	0%	0%	0%	20%	26%	15%	25%	31%	20%
I participate in after-school clubs.	5%	0%	10%	9%	9%	10%	14%	14%	13%
I participate in sports or other physical activities.	21%	0%	40%	42%	34%	49%	42%	36%	48%

PARENTAL ENGAGEMENT

How often do you and a parent, a guardian or another adult who lives with you do the following?

Percentage of students who answered "every day or almost every day"+

We talk about the activities I do in school.	53%	89%	20%	52%	57%	46%	50%	55%	46%
We talk about the reading and writing work I do in school.	11%	0%	20%	30%	33%	26%	31%	34%	28%
We talk about the mathematics work I do in school.	21%	22%	20%	36%	36%	36%	37%	39%	35%
We read together.	16%	11%	20%	29%	30%	28%	31%	33%	29%
We look at my school agenda.	63%	56%	70%	65%	65%	65%	53%	54%	52%
We use a computer together.	11%	22%	0%	12%	12%	12%	15%	15%	15%

Includes only students for whom gender data were available.

t Other response options were "never", "1 or 2 times a month" and "1 to 3 times a week".

Mother Teresa Catholic Elementary School (688784)

Assessments of Reading, Writing and Mathematics, 2015–2016

		School			Board			Province	
GRADE 3: STUDENT QUESTIONNAIRE RESULTS FOR SCHOOL, BOARD AND PROVINCE (all students, female, male)	All (# = 19)	Female* (# = 9)	Male* (# = 10)	All (# = 1 474)	Female* (# = 730)	Male* (# = 744)	All (# = 120 554)	Female* (# = 59 170)	Male* (# = 61 384)
SCHOOLS ATTENDED How many schools did you attend before this one?				Percent	age of stu	ıdents †			
Only this school/1 other school	89%	78%	100%	84%	84%	83%	77%	78%	77%
2 other schools/3 other schools	11%	22%	0%	11%	11%	11%	16%	16%	16%
4 other schools or more	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	4%	4%	4%	4%
LANGUAGES STUDENTS SPEAK AT HOME				Percent	age of stu	udents†			
Only English/Mostly English	89%	78%	100%	85%	85%	84%	72%	70%	73%
Another language (or other languages) as often as English	11%	22%	0%	8%	8%	9%	16%	17%	15%
Mostly another language (or other languages)/ Only another language (or other languages)	0%	0%	0%	5%	5%	6%	10%	11%	10%
LANGUAGES SPOKEN TO STUDENTS AT HOME				Percent	age of stu	idents†			
Only English/Mostly English	84%	67%	100%	82%	83%	82%	67%	66%	68%
Another language (or other languages) as often as English	16%	33%	0%	8%	8%	8%	13%	14%	13%
Mostly another language (or other languages)/ Only another language (or other languages)	0%	0%	0%	7%	6%	7%	17%	17%	16%


* Includes only students for whom gender data were available.

† Percentages may not add up to 100, due to rounding or to ambiguous or blank responses.

STUDENT QUESTIONN	AIRE RESULTS FOR SCHOOL: GRADE 6 (# = 46)	
Never Sor	netimes Most of the time	
STUDENT ENGAGEMENT About reading:	Percentage of Students*	Number of students who answered "most of the time"
I like to read.	50 43	20
I am a good reader.	20 76	35
I am able to understand difficult reading passages.	7 30 59	27
I do my best when I do reading activities in class.	13 85	39
STUDENT ENGAGEMENT About writing:		
I like to write.	7 20 70	32
I am a good writer.	22 74	34
I am able to communicate my ideas in writing.	33 63	29
I do my best when I do writing activities in class.	13 83	38
COGNITIVE STRATEGIES USED IN LANGU	JAGE	
Before I start to read, I try to predict what the text will be about.	24 48 24	11
I make sure I understand what I am reading.	17 76	35
I slow down my reading if it is difficult.	37 57	26
When I come to a word I do not understand, I look for clues (e.g., punctuation, word parts, other words in the sentence).	11 30 54	25
When I am finished reading, I think about what I have read.	4 37 57	26
I organize my ideas before I start to write.	9 48 39	18
I edit my writing to make it better.	24 72	33
I check my writing for spelling and grammar.	4 24 67	31

STUDENT QUESTIONN	AIRE RESULTS FOR SCHOOL: GRADE 6 (# = 46)	
Never Sor	netimes Most of the time	
INSTRUCTIONAL TOOLS USED IN READING AND WRITING How often do you use the following when you read and write at school?	Percentage of Students*	Number of students who answered "most of the time"
A computer for reading activities	30 52 7	3
A computer for writing activities	67 22	10
Reading and writing tools (e.g., a dictionary, thesaurus, word wall, editing checklist)	4 46 41	19
The Internet to find information	52 35	16
STUDENT ENGAGEMENT About mathematics:		
I like mathematics.	7 26 65	30
I am good at mathematics.	4 17 76	35
I am able to answer difficult mathematics questions.	9 37 50	23
I do my best when I do mathematics activities in class.	20 76	35
COGNITIVE STRATEGIES USED IN MATHI When I am working on a mathematics proble		
I read over the problem first to make sure I know what I am supposed to do.	13 87	40
I think about the steps I will use to solve the problem.	22 72	33
I ask for help if I do not understand the problem.	35 59	27
I check my work for mistakes.	20 74	34
I check my answer to see if it makes sense.	17 78	36

	AIRE RESULTS FOR SCHOOL: GRADE 6 (# = 46)	
Never Son	netimes Most of the time	
INSTRUCTIONAL TOOLS USED IN MATHEMATICS How often do you use the following during mathematics activities at school?	Percentage of Students*	Number of students who answered "most of the time"
Manipulatives (e.g., base ten blocks, tiles)	24 35 33	15
A calculator	4 46 39	18
A computer to learn mathematics	39 41 9	4
The Internet to explore information related to mathematics	33 46 11	5



STUDENT QUESTIONNAIRE RESULTS FOR SCHOOL: GRADE 6 (# = 46)

SCHOOLS ATTENDED How many schools did you attend before this one?	Percentage of Students*	Number of students
Only this one	65	30
1 other school	13	6
2 other schools	4	2
3 other schools		1
4 other schools or more	7	3
	ge (or other languages) Mostly another language (or other language) Only another language (or other language (or other language)	
LANGUAGES SPOKEN	Percentage of Students*	Number of students who answered "only English" or "mostly English"
Languages student speaks at home	76 13	35
Languages in which people speak to student at home	74 13	34

Mother Teresa Catholic Elementary School (688784)

Assessments of Reading, Writing and Mathematics, 2015–2016

	School			Board			Province		
GRADE 6: STUDENT QUESTIONNAIRE RESULTS FOR SCHOOL, BOARD AND PROVINCE (all students, female, male)	All (# = 46)	Female* (# = 26)	Male* (# = 20)	All (# = 1 500)	Female* (# = 738)	Male* (# = 762)	All (# = 119 451)	Female* (# = 58 364)	Male* (# = 61 087)

STUDENT ENGAGEMENT

About reading:

About writing:

I like to read. 43% 54% 30% 46% 54% 39% 45% 54% 38% I am a good reader. 76% 81% 70% 69% 74% 65% 67% 71% 64% I am able to understand difficult reading passages. 59% 62% 55% 44% 44% 45% 41% 40% 42% I do my best when I do reading activities in class. 85% 85% 85% 75% 79% 72% 71% 76% 66%

STUDENT ENGAGEMENT

Percentage of students who answered "most of the time"+

Percentage of students who answered "most of the time"+

I like to write.	70%	73%	65%	46%	60%	33%	43%	55%	31%
I am a good writer.	74%	77%	70%	50%	62%	38%	43%	51%	35%
I am able to communicate my ideas in writing.	63%	65%	60%	54%	59%	50%	49%	54%	45%
I do my best when I do writing activities in class.	83%	88%	75%	76%	82%	71%	70%	76%	64%

COGNITIVE STRATEGIES USED IN LANGUAGE

Percentage of students who answered "most of the time"†

Before I start to read, I try to predict what the text will be about.	24%	27%	20%	15%	14%	15%	16%	16%	17%
I make sure I understand what I am reading.	76%	85%	65%	73%	77%	70%	72%	76%	68%
I slow down my reading if it is difficult.	57%	50%	65%	56%	61%	51%	57%	62%	51%
When I come to a word I do not understand, I look for clues (e.g., punctuation, word parts, other words in the sentence).	54%	58%	50%	43%	46%	40%	42%	46%	38%
When I am finished reading, I think about what I have read.	57%	58%	55%	44%	46%	42%	40%	43%	37%
I organize my ideas before I start to write.	39%	50%	25%	35%	38%	31%	35%	39%	30%
I edit my writing to make it better.	72%	69%	75%	52%	60%	44%	51%	58%	45%
I check my writing for spelling and grammar.	67%	69%	65%	56%	61%	52%	53%	59%	48%

INSTRUCTIONAL TOOLS USED IN READING AND WRITING

Percentage of students who answered "most of the time"+

A computer for reading activities	7%	4%	10%	6%	6%	7%	10%	8%	12%
A computer for writing activities	22%	23%	20%	18%	14%	21%	31%	29%	33%
Reading and writing tools (e.g., a dictionary, thesaurus, word wall, editing checklist)	41%	42%	40%	31%	36%	26%	30%	34%	25%
The internet to find information	35%	38%	30%	43%	41%	45%	53%	53%	53%

* Includes only students for whom gender data were available.

† Other response options were "never" and "sometimes".

Assessments of Reading, Writing and Mathematics, 2015–2016

	School			Board			Province		
GRADE 6: STUDENT QUESTIONNAIRE RESULTS FOR SCHOOL, BOARD AND PROVINCE (all students, female, male)	All (# = 46)	Female* (# = 26)	Male* (# = 20)	All (# = 1 500)	Female* (# = 738)	Male* (# = 762)	All (# = 119 451)	Female* (# = 58 364)	Male* (# = 61 087)

STUDENT ENGAGEMENT About mathematics:

Percentage of students who answered "most of the time"†

Percentage of students who answered "most of the time"†

I like mathematics.	65%	69%	60%	47%	41%	54%	50%	42%	57%
I am good at mathematics.	76%	77%	75%	53%	47%	59%	52%	45%	59%
I am able to answer difficult mathematics questions.	50%	46%	55%	39%	32%	46%	38%	30%	46%
I do my best when I do mathematics activities in class.	76%	77%	75%	82%	83%	80%	77%	77%	77%

COGNITIVE STRATEGIES USED IN MATHEMATICS

When I am working on a mathematics problem,

I read over the problem first to make sure I know what I am supposed to do.	87%	88%	85%	84%	87%	80%	81%	86%	77%
I think about the steps I will use to solve the problem.	72%	69%	75%	51%	52%	49%	50%	52%	49%
I ask for help if I do not understand the problem.	59%	58%	60%	61%	66%	57%	59%	64%	55%
I check my work for mistakes.	74%	73%	75%	53%	55%	52%	50%	51%	48%
I check my answers to see if it makes sense.	78%	81%	75%	68%	69%	67%	66%	68%	64%

INSTRUCTIONAL TOOLS USED IN MATHEMATICS

Percentage of students who answered "most of the time"†

Manipulatives (e.g., base ten blocks, tiles)	33%	35%	30%	16%	18%	13%	17%	19%	14%
A calculator	39%	31%	50%	59%	63%	54%	48%	53%	43%
A computer to learn mathematics	9%	4%	15%	8%	6%	9%	10%	9%	10%
The Internet to explore information related to mathematics	11%	4%	20%	8%	8%	9%	11%	10%	12%

* Includes only students for whom gender data were available.

+ Other response options were "never" and "sometimes".

of Poading Writing and Mathematics 2015, 2016

Mother Teresa Catholic Elementary School (688784)

Assessments of Reading,	Writing and	Mathe	matics, 2018	5–2016	
			School		

GRADE 6: STUDENT QUESTIONNAIRE RESULTS FOR SCHOOL, BOARD AND PROVINCE (all students, female, male)

Sc	hool		Board		Province				
All (# = 46) Female*	t = 26 ale*	All (# = 1 500)	Female* (# = 738)	Male* (# = 762)	All (# = 119 451)	Female* (# = 58 364)	Male* (# = 61 087)		

Percentage of students who answered "every day or almost every day"+

OUT-OF-SCHOOL ACTIVITIES

How often do you do the following when you are not at school?

I participate in art, music or drama activities.	15%	23%	5%	16%	21%	12%	16%	20%	12%
I participate in after-school clubs.	4%	8%	0%	8%	9%	7%	10%	10%	9%
I participate in sports or other physical activities.	43%	62%	20%	48%	44%	52%	43%	37%	49%

PARENTAL ENGAGEMENT

How often do you and a parent, a guardian or another adult who lives with you do the following?

Percentage of students who answered "every day or almost every day"+

We talk about the activities I do in school.	67%	65%	70%	49%	52%	45%	45%	49%	42%
We talk about the reading and writing work I do in school.	33%	35%	30%	23%	24%	22%	22%	24%	20%
We talk about the mathematics work I do in school.	52%	50%	55%	33%	34%	33%	33%	35%	31%
We read together.	15%	8%	25%	7%	7%	7%	7%	7%	8%
We look at my school agenda.	50%	42%	60%	46%	43%	49%	29%	29%	29%
We use a computer together.	11%	8%	15%	8%	8%	9%	9%	9%	10%

* Includes only students for whom gender data were available.

† Other response options were "never", "1 or 2 times a month" and "1 to 3 times a week".

Mother Teresa Catholic Elementary School (688784)

Assessments of Reading, Writing and Mathematics, 2015–2016

	School			Board			Province		
GRADE 6: STUDENT QUESTIONNAIRE RESULTS FOR SCHOOL, BOARD AND PROVINCE (all students, female, male)		Female* (# = 26)	Male* (# = 20)	All (# = 1 500)	Female* (# = 738)	Male* (# = 762)	All (# = 119 451)	Female* (# = 58 364)	Male* (# = 61 087)
SCHOOLS ATTENDED How many schools did you attend before this one?				Percent	age of stu	udents†			
Only this school/1 other school	78%	81%	75%	79%	80%	78%	69%	69%	68%
2 other schools/3 other schools	7%	8%	5%	15%	14%	15%	22%	22%	22%
4 other schools or more	7%	4%	10%	5%	4%	5%	6%	6%	6%
LANGUAGES STUDENTS SPEAK AT HOME				Percent	age of stu	udents†			
Only English/Mostly English	76%	77%	75%	87%	87%	88%	74%	75%	74%
Another language (or other languages) as often as English	13%	12%	15%	7%	8%	7%	15%	16%	15%
Mostly another language (or other languages)/ Only another language (or other languages)	2%	4%	0%	3%	3%	4%	8%	7%	8%
LANGUAGES SPOKEN TO STUDENTS AT HOME				Percent	age of stu	idents†			
Only English/Mostly English	74%	73%	75%	84%	83%	84%	68%	68%	68%
Another language (or other languages) as often as English	13%	15%	10%	7%	8%	6%	13%	14%	13%
Mostly another language (or other languages)/ Only another language (or other languages)	2%	0%	5%	7%	7%	7%	15%	14%	15%

* Includes only students for whom gender data were available.

† Percentages may not add up to 100, due to rounding or to ambiguous or blank responses.

EXPLANATION OF TERMS					
All Students	Results are reported for all students in the grade.				
Participating Students	Results are reported only for those students who took part in the assessment (excludes "no data" and "exempt" categories).				
Provincial Standard	The Ministry of Education has set Level 3 as the provincial standard.				
Level 4	The student has demonstrated the required knowledge and skills. Achievement surpasses the provincial standard.				
Level 3	The student has demonstrated most of the required knowledge and skills. Achievement is at the provincial standard.				
Level 2	The student has demonstrated some of the required knowledge and skills. Achievement approaches the provincial standard.				
Level 1	The student has demonstrated some of the required knowledge and skills in limited ways. Achievement falls much below the provincial standard.				
NE1	"Not enough evidence for Level 1" is used when students did not demonstrate enough evidence of knowledge and understanding to be assigned Level 1.				
No Data	Students who did not have a result due to absence or other reasons.				
Exempt	Students who were formally exempted from participation in one or more components of the assessment.				
English Language Learners	Students who have been identified by the school in accordance with <i>English Language Learners: ESL and ELD Programs and Services: Policies and Procedures for Ontario Elementary and Secondary Schools, Kindergarten to Grade 12</i> (2007).				
Students with Special Education Needs (excluding gifted)	Students who have been formally identified by an Identification, Placement and Review Committee, as well as students who have an Individual Education Plan. Students whose sole exceptionality is giftedness are not included.				
N/R	"Not reported" indicates that the number of students participating (fewer than 10 in a group) or responding to the Student Questionnaire (fewer than six in a group) is so small that identification of individual student results might be possible; therefore, results are not reported.				
N/D	No data available is used to indicate that there were no students in the grade or subject for the group or year specified.				
w	Results are being withheld by EQAO. For further information, please contact the school principal.				
EC	Due to exceptional circumstances in 2015, provincial data are unavailable to report provincial results.				
NP	Non-participating indicates that due to exceptional circumstances, some or all of the school's or board's students did not participate.				